

Promoting self-regulation in toddlers born preterm – a parent training program

Verena C. Vetter¹, Michaela Schäferling¹, Kim A. Gärtner², Silke Hertel² & Gitta Reuner^{1,2}

¹Clinic I, Division of Neuropediatrics and Metabolic Medicine, Centre for Pediatric and Adolescent Medicine, University Hospital Heidelberg ²Institute for Education Studies, Heidelberg University

ISSOP Meeting, Bonn, 28. Sept. 2018



BACKGROUND

- Prevalence: ca. 9% of infants are born premature (< 37 weeks of gestational age) (Beck et al., 2010; IQTIG Qualitätsreport 2015, 2015; Pöschl, 2017)
- Very preterm born children have an increased risk for adverse development: self-regulation (SR), cognitive & attenional deficits
 (Aarnoudse-Moens et al., 2009; Anderson et al., 2011; Arpi & Ferrari, 2013; Bhutta, Cleves, Casey, Cradock & Anand, 2002; Mulder et al. 2009)



BACKGROUND

- To develop self-regulation, children largely depend on parental co-regulation (CR) strategies (Fay-Stammbach et al. 2014)
- Scaffolding & Sensitivity:
 - Sensitive parenting may act as a protective factor (Jaekel, Pluess, Belsky, & Wolke, 2015; Ravn et al., 2011; Treyvaud et al., 2009; Wolke, Jaekel, Hall, & Baumann, 2013).
 - Parental scaffolding predicts better executive functioning, problem-solving, verbal and cognitive skills (e.g. Landry, Miller-Loncar, Smith, & Swank, 2002; Lowe, Erickson, MacLean, Schrader, & Fuller, 2013; Lowe et al., 2014)
- Parents of Preterm Children show less scaffolding behavior and sensitive interaction with their child (Choe et al., 2013, Hoffmann et al., 2006)



METHODS

- Experimental 2x3 design
- 148 parent-child-dyads:
 - 50 preterm
 - 98 full-term
- Children's age: 24-36 month
- Randomly assigned to:
 - (a) Scaffolding Training
 - (b) Scaffolding & Sensitivity Training
 - (c) Control group(Stressmanagement)

MEASURES

- Pre-/ post-test/ follow-up assessment
- Multimethod approach (parent & child)
 - Bayley Scales-III: cognitive scale (Reuner & Rosenkranz, 2014)

Video-observation of parent-child interaction during problem-solving (Gärtner et al., under review)

Self-regulation tasks: Delay of gratification and Go No-Go task (Voigt et al. 2013)

Questionnaires: e.g. BRIEF-P, ECBQ, CBCL, PSI, ...







SAMPLE

N= 148

	n	Preterm (PT)	n	Full-term (FT)	χ²/t	p
Parents' age [years] M (SD)	50	37.64 (5.61)	98	35.56 (4.52)	2.81	.006
Parents' sex [female] %	50	80.0	98	85.7	0.80	.372
Parents with twins %	39	33.3	91	1.1	33,37	<.001
Socioeconomic status M (SD)	39	16.30 (3.19)	91	16.58 (3.40)	0.48	.633
University-entrance diploma %	50	68.0	97	90.7	20,19	<.001
Child's (corrected) age [months] M (SD)	50	27.35 (3.59)	98	27.44 (3.27)	0.16	.875
Child's sex [female] %	50	50.0	98	39.80	1.41	.236
Gestational age [weeks] M (SD)	50	30.08 (3.77)	97	39.42 (1.28)	17.01	<.001
Birth weight [g] M (SD)	50	1408.9 (676,93)	98	3391,93 (467,81)	18.58	<.001
Child's cognitive performance at 24 months of age (Bayley-III) M (SD)	48	93.65 (16.91)	98	99.69 (13.66)	2.32	.022



INTERVENTION









INTERVENTION

Sessions (à 180 min.)	Combined training: Parental scaffolding and sensitivity		
Session 1	 Development of self-regulation Co-and self-regulation: parental scaffolding and sensitive parenting Zone of proximal development (Vygotsky) Child competence and development 		
Session 2	 Play and self-regulation Scaffolding means: Questions & hints Reading the child's signals 		
Session 3	 Emotions and emotion-regulation in early childhood Mirroring and labelling emotions Scaffolding means: Instructions, feedback, explanations and transfer 		
Session 4	 Setting boundaries sensitively vs. transferring responsibility Handling anxiety Summary and reflection on the learned strategies 		



RESULTS



>> T-Test: FT: *M*= 5.18, *SD*=0.72, n=93/ PT: *M*= 5.15, *SD*=0.66, n=47 t(138)= 0.270 p= .788



RESULTS





DISCUSSION

Limitations

- •Small sample of preterm children and parents
- •Current data is limited to parental self-report
- Mostly highly educated parents with high SES

>> Further analyses will include observational Data on children's selfregulation

Conclusion

•High reported acceptance and motivation to transfer for all three training conditions (for both FT and PT parents).

•Parent training to promote self regulation seem to be a promising approach



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

